

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/814,214

Applicant(s)

PAUL ET AL.

Examiner

Urmi Chattopadhyay

Art Unit

3738

**All Participants:**(1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.(2) Brent P. Ray.**Status of Application:** Amendment after Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 23 March 2006**Time:** 3:30 pm**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ NoIf Yes, provide a brief description: *Not applicable.***Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, first paragraph


Claims discussed:

22, 25, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

*Not applicable***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Discussed canceling claims 22, 25 and 26 in order to put the application in condition for allowance without addressing the merits of the rejection of the claims under 112, first paragraph.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)